



The Honorable Sandra Brikaite
Consul General of Lithuania
Consulate General of Lithuania
11766 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 560
Los Angeles, CA 90025

March 17, 2026

Dear Consul General Brikaite,

I write on behalf of the Israeli-American Civic Action Network.

Our organization was actively involved in helping internationalize the case of Jonas Noreika and in bringing congressional attention to Lithuania's continued honoring of a figure tied to the destruction of Jewish life. We devoted substantial effort to ensuring that policymakers in Washington understood the seriousness of Lithuania's historical revisionism and the damage it has done to the credibility of the Lithuanian state in matters of Holocaust memory.

The recent article, *The Indictment That Put Lithuania on Trial*, has brought this matter sharply back to my attention. It also makes plain that we have not been proactive enough in continuing to draw attention to Lithuania's conduct in this area. From where we stand, there has been no meaningful progress. The revisionism remains. The underlying historical distortions remain. The institutional conduct that drew concern in the Noreika matter appears unchanged.

For that reason, I intend to return to Congress with an updated account of Lithuania's continuing historical deceptions and the prosecution now brought against Artur Fridman. Before doing so, I ask that your office provide a direct and complete response to the following questions regarding this matter:

1. What are the full laws, statutory provisions, and legal theories under which Mr. Fridman has been charged, investigated, or otherwise proceeded against?
2. What is the exact penalty exposure Mr. Fridman faces under each such law?
3. What is the precise procedural status of his case at this time?
4. Who is the public defender or other defense counsel assigned to Mr. Fridman?
5. What level and extent of public defense is being provided to him?



6. Has Mr. Fridman been afforded full access to counsel at every stage of the proceedings, and is assigned counsel qualified in the relevant areas of law implicated by this case?
7. Has Mr. Fridman been given full access to the evidence against him?
8. Has any expert historical opinion from state institutions, including the Genocide and Resistance Research Centre of Lithuania, been used, relied upon, or consulted in connection with this matter?
9. Does the Lithuanian government regard criticism of Jonas Noreika or other state-honored figures as protected historical discourse?
10. Does the Lithuanian government distinguish between Holocaust denial and criticism of Holocaust collaborators honored by the state?
11. Does your office dispute the factual premise of the JNS article, and if so, on what specific grounds?
12. Will Lithuania provide a written statement of its current official position regarding state honors for Jonas Noreika and Juozas Brazaitis?

This request is made respectfully, but seriously. Cases of this kind do not remain isolated. They shape how Lithuania is understood abroad. They affect whether prior assurances of democratic seriousness and historical honesty are credible. They also determine whether Jewish concerns raised over many years were ever answered in substance, or merely managed through delay and deflection.

I would appreciate a prompt written response.

Sincerely,

Dillon Hosier
CEO

Israeli-American Civic Action Network